

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/018,469	02/04/2002		Patrick Alexandre	111504	1617
7.	590	07/15/2005	EXAMINER		
Oliff & Berrio	lge		WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE		
Alexandria, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3763	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/018,469	ALEXANDRE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Catherine S. Williams	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication							
Period for Reply	appeare on the core, and a						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thint riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2 July 2004.						
<i>'</i> <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-10</u> is/are pending in the	application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		,					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner						
	y⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. y⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 July 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to		-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	oian priority under 35 H.S.C. &	: 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		113(a)-(a) or (i).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		and and an Ala					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum							
 Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But 	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received					
det ind diddined detailed differ detail for a	not of the continue copies het	Todol Vod.					
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —.					

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/22/04 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 7/22/04 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

- Figure 1 introduces new matter in showing two balls. Even though the original disclosure teaches three balls, the configuration of the three balls was not disclosed and only one ball was shown in the original drawing.
- 2. Figure 2 introduces new matter in showing the active principle within the cavity of the barrier. While the original disclosure teaches the active principle within the cavity of the barrier, the original disclosure does not support this configuration of the active principle within the cavity.

Art Unit: 3763

3. Figure 3 introduces new matter in showing two cavities in this configuration. The original disclosure only mentions that there is at least one cavity but does not support how more than one cavities are arranged within the barrier.

- 4. The first amendment to the specification and new claim language in claim 1 introduces new matter in disclosing that the barrier is solid.
- 5. The new claim language in claim 1 reciting that the barrier remains "trapped in the syringe without any possibility of being expelled" is not supported by the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Objections

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: it would be more precise and clear to refer to the blind cavity as –the at least one blind cavity—since claim one only recites "at least one blind cavity". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that

Art Unit: 3763

the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Specifically, claim 1 recites new matter as to the barrier being "solid" and remaining "trapped in the syringe without any possibility of being expelled". See above.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 9 recites the broad recitation between 1-8 times the diameter, and the claim also recites between 2-5 times the diameter which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Art Unit: 3763

Page 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hein (USPN 2,737,946). Hein discloses a shock wave generating device (21), an application guide (11,17,19) and a barrier (83) with a blind cavity (14) accommodating an active principle. See figures 5-6. See figure 16 for claim 4. The length of the application guide is between 2-5 times the diameter of the barrier. The application guide includes a shock absorbing system (82).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hein. Hein meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the shock wave generating device comprising a pyrotechnic charge.

At the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious design choice to substitute the spring actuation system of Hein for a pyrotechnic charge to advance the plunger 21.

Pyrotechnic charges are well known in the art. Additionally, applicant has not stated that a

Art Unit: 3763

pyrotechnic charge versus other actuation mechanisms provides a particular advantage, is used to solve a stated problem or has a particular purpose. Furthermore, one skilled in the art would expect a pyrotechnic charge or the actuation device of Hein to perform equally well since both would expel the contents of the cavity into a patient.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams

July 13, 2005